

Public Controversy

A description of the concept that must be used to gain a set of legal exceptions.

INTRODUCTION

“Public Controversy” is the concept of a think tank that actively pursues “anti-leftist” interests. The concept of “Public Controversy” can be picked up by my members of Council to serve our mutual interests. (I have given off a wrong signal in the past by doing everything by myself. In actuality I want to be nothing but the picture face (who softly guides overall direction).

The mutual interest is being of independent societal influence, free of any political, legal and economic constraint. The concept itself is a justification to gather privately, by exceptional means, given that the trias politica in today’s effect obstructs this.

The individual cases Public Controversy covers (not the departments: the cases that are covered per department) create incentives that guide political direction. Not for absolutist dominance (that is not a promise), but for a subtle change in the content of the public debate: the part of it that leads to action.

The given subjects are so politically controversial that this must be publicly carried out from exceptionally private (and secure) quarters. The theme of the month will be: “Forming a Government”. It covers both the controversies of the ways in which this could be done in general (thinking as if there were no politics at all yet) and the usual process that always fails.

The main danger in gathering is that all men involved are unwilling to accept their positions in the hierarchy and try to claim authority over things they are not knowledgeable enough about. (Literally watching them make the wrong decisions over and over again.) Plus they do nothing to fend off free riders, which could lead to infiltration. It is too late when I am in dispute over one’s authority in person, surrounded by people who should have nothing to do with it in addition (the free riders). This must be prevented by any means. (If that means for me to risk your life because I want to take more time to ensure that men won’t be stubborn misogynists, I will not hesitate to make that decision, given that in that way I protect myself.)

March’s theme per Council’s department is the following:

- ❖ Praesens: “Forming a Government”
- ❖ Iustis: “The Exception that is the Incentive”
- ❖ Economicus: “Acceptable Business Concepts”
- ❖ Technologicus: “Narrowing down your Expertise”
- ❖ Cultor: “The Best Suitable First Campaign”
- ❖ Medicus: “Health as an Algorithm”

❖ Chief of Staff: “The Ideal Man”

(Note: the Chief of Staff has an individual case to cover for the think tank only in March (for the opening).)

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|----|
| Introduction | 1 |
| Praesens: “Forming a Government” | 4 |
| Iustis: “The Exception that is the Incentive” | 6 |
| Economicus: “Acceptable Business Concepts” | 7 |
| Technologicus: “Narrowing Down Your Expertise” | 8 |
| Cultor: “The Best Suitable First Campaign” | 9 |
| Medicus: “Health as an Algorithm” | 10 |
| Chief of Staff: “The Ideal Man” | 12 |
| The Assembly..... | 14 |
| Appendix I: “Perfect Market Coverage” | 15 |
| 1. Fair Grounds | 15 |
| 2. Natural Selection | 15 |
| Completing the Circle..... | 17 |

PRAESENS: “FORMING A GOVERNMENT”

Telepathic deputy: Huntly

In this case, a government is formed only once. Given that the people do not accept authority easily: how to obtain their submission? While submission is difficult to obtain, how to – while sedating resistance – establish a political construction that, once set, will last forever?

From the suppressing effects of coronavirus politics, leftism in general and your personal situation (the effects of the attack on Titan), comes the incentive to establish an independent organization. An independent organization that aspires to gain more authority over the public factors (commerce, the environment, ...) that influence people’s personal lives. (There is also the natural incentive, which is explained in Appendix I. (But your individual case focuses on the concept of forming a government in general instead of forming a government from the natural incentive.)) Similar to a government, “Public Controversy” is representative for the will of a people.

In contrast to a democracy, the representatives of “Public Controversy” are chosen only once. This is controversial (to have representatives that are selected by one entity with authority rather than elected by the votes of the people), but given the turbulent circumstances, there is no time for discussion about this decision. For if you are ever ambushed with this controversy (people pleading for elections rather than accepting the chosen representatives), I need you to have ready – from a personal perspective – a quick set of reasons why you possess the best qualities for lifelong leadership. (From a personal perspective, because the controversy, in my opinion, needs nothing but quick dismissal. (Propaganda leads to people wanting to have the right to vote. In the end the process of voting is nothing but puppeteering. Representatives in rotation do not strive after objectives the way a lifelong leader does.))

From a situation in which everyone is equal, when roles are divided, people do not easily accept the role of being a subordinate. Especially when there are no peers to refer to, given that the situation that leads to the establishment of “Public Controversy” is unique. Anyone can gain from acting in the legal and economic space that “Public Controversy” yields, but this must not lead to the organization being potentially subject to free riders. *Both consistency in leadership and the prohibition of free riding start with people accepting their positions in the organizational hierarchy.* What methods will you use to prevent people from quarreling over authority the way people quarrel over discount items during Black Friday sale?

While potentially people will quarrel over authority the way people quarrel over discount items during Black Friday sale, there is only one attempt available to establish the

organizational hierarchy. (Because any additional attempt means no prohibition of free riding. Saying: “This first attempt was terrible, because this and that. I believe that I can do better,” is something anyone could do. So this must be prevented by any means.) *How will you lead the individual departments as one organization?* The – mandatorily highly formal – style of leadership that you develop must be comprised of a fixed set of routines. “Fixed” in the sense that even though every individual case that requires your leadership is unique, routines such as “set out project”, “adapt long-term strategy to circumstantial change” and “project evaluation” (including its frequency) must be rather fixed, so that your subordinates understand you rather easily and your performance for every individual case is easy to review.

IUSTIS: “THE EXCEPTION THAT IS THE INCENTIVE”

Telepathic deputy: Gustav

“The Exception that is the Incentive” already sounds like a sin. Because if an exception can be an incentive, then to gain one can simply go after the incentive rather than behave in accordance with the way a system is designed. This case, however, may not be seen as a sin in any way, because it is that exceptionally exceptional. How to convince someone of accepting the exceptional exception? On top of that, the exception requires a new constitution.

Leftist politics prohibit Titans from pursuing their political and economic ideals. Actively, (including the leftist endorsement of prostitution as a profession that is not considered illegal) Titans have been hunted after to physically obstruct them in their pursuit for a better life. (Usually it is only with policies that do not serve their personal interests.) Titans are too tall for supported ergonomic standards (and their intelligence is “too broad” for the existing political limitations on economic freedom). *How would you state this elaborately?* This because you must be comfortable with speaking of this in detail, given that it is the *incentive* from which stems the exception. (Perhaps the Cultor could provide you with more detailed information.)

The Titans to become able to freely pursue their political and economic interests, given their current situation, requires an exception. Given the ergonomics (and exceptional limitations on economic freedom), they basically need their own country in which everything suits their exceptional height and exceptional intelligence. “But everyone wants free gains from exception.” And we are numb to emotional argumentation. *How would you state what the exception is?*

The exception becoming “of effect” is a unique experience for every individual Titan, but the legal components it is comprised of are the same. The Titan must be given the right to travel by exception and the right to be given a basic set of goods required to live while their country is being established. The right to travel free of coronavirus related constraints and to get things “for free” are things that everyone wants, but that are exceptionally necessary for Titans. *How would you convince someone hereof?* (Even though they have done and said plenty of morally unacceptable things.)

This in addition to the acceptance of the authority the Titan will be subject to, from which the exception is provided. There may be no room for error the relationship between “Public Controversy” and the individuals that are subject to the organization, the way there may be no room for error in the relationship between a government and its citizens. *I need you to seal this by writing a constitution.*

Is having a professional “office dick sucker” truly of benefit to the organization? The concept of perfect market coverage allows for professions to be chosen and accepted only once. First and foremost, in the perfectly covered market, the available businesses must (based on area coverage) be able to supply the basic economic needs of every citizen. Secondly, the chain of supply and demand must function solidly, like an ecosystem. When to say that it functions solidly?

The concept of perfect market coverage is described in Appendix I. The specialisms of the players in our economy are God-given. We do not choose what someone’s profession is (with the exception of the selected members of Council). A prostitute (as a random example of a controversial profession), is not an essential economic entity, is a morally controversial kind of profession and its influence in the solid functioning of the economy is not easy to pinpoint. *In what way (can we even speak of criteria when we are working with God-given natural monopolies) would you narrow down (and categorize) the economic entities that will perfectly cover the economy in its entirety?* The way you define this will later be translated to an app designed for you personally.

We may never go hungry. We may never be without clothing and other things we need to look and feel representable. This under both transitional circumstances and when the perfect market coverage economy is established. It must run smoothly, like a healthy ecosystem does. *How would you structure area coverage per individual business, for the entire economy?* (This must be based on your previous categorization. (Is area coverage the exact same for every profession that is the same? Does business A selling suits in area A cover the same (absolute, if even relative) size market as business B selling suits in area B?)) A bonus question for you here is the following: the assembly of the board of Public Controversy and the individuals that are subject to the organization is an economic transition, because from there they will establish (constitute) their own country. *What – if anything at all – goods are essentials they should have during the transition and where should they get it from?*

Even though the overall types of supply in our economy we do not know in advance, the way the economy will function must be overseeable (and predictable). The economic entities will register themselves before the area of operation is assigned per business. From a summary thereof in a database, the perfect market coverage economy must be filled in. *Prospectively, what criteria would you state to consider the perfect market coverage economy filled in flawlessly?*

TECHNOLOGICUS: “NARROWING DOWN YOUR EXPERTISE”

Telepathic deputy: Meneer Czar (my piña colada)

Your expertise covers the fields of mathematics, physics, some chemistry, engineering and IT. You are responsible for (checking) the calculations made on behalf of the Council. You are also responsible for defining product standards. The occasions when your insight is required are relatively unpredictable. How to, even with this, ensure that your profession comes with a routine?

During the establishment phase of Public Controversy, your insight is required more than usual. This because then you must define calculation standards that will be used after the establishment phase. Standards for successful project management. And the exceptional calculation standards which, after all individuals that are subject to Public Controversy have been registered, will illustrate the perfect market coverage economy in theory (as prediction) and in practice (based on the prediction). *How to ensure that all essential future standards have been pre-defined?*

Titans require ergonomic standards that are different from the current economy its ergonomic standards. This must be included in the future product standards, which you must define. Aside from the essentials [*What products do you consider essential? (And, for the transition phase, how to logistically provide these?)*], future products that economic entities will need and develop (innovation) are rather unpredictable. *How to be prepared for this?* Logistical standards you must define in collaboration with the Economicus. I am certain that I am forgetting other things that will require standards. *Is there anything you can think of?*

After all standards have been defined, it will (eventually) become time to use them in practice. Some (calculation) standards must be made available to be accessed by economic entities that will use them to develop products for sale. Other standards will be used by you personally to make calculations on behalf of the Council. Then there are the additional concepts that here have not been mentioned yet. *What standard presentation criteria will you set for your calculations?* In the aggregate of these responsibilities lies a routine. *Prospectively, what personal criteria would you state for a routine that you consider fun and psychologically healthy?*

CULTOR: “THE BEST SUITABLE FIRST CAMPAIGN”

Telepathic deputy: Jacques

Publicly announcing the establishment of “Public Controversy” is already a first campaign. But is that the best suitable first campaign? What makes a good campaign? The latter could be defined in advance to simplify future creative processes. This could come in handy given that most of your future campaigns will be rather impromptu. Most of them, but one campaign is certain: the one about “Economic Fair Ground III.”

How much energy would you, including the unthinkable disrespectful people you are doing it for, put in publicly announcing the establishment of “Public Controversy”?

People having been oblivious to a year of all-attention-consuming psychological warfare, is the establishment of “Public Controversy” the best suitable first campaign? Ignorance is embarrassing. But maybe the psychological war needs some retrospective attention, rather than doing everything to forget about it immediately after it is over. Should they be confronted with their ignorance? How to – if at all – do this properly? (In such a way that making eye contact (without wanting to punch people in the face) and looking in the mirror remains possible for them after having been exposed to your campaign.) *Is that the best suitable campaign, or should the first campaign be about something completely different?* (Mind you that I – because I am still contemplating whether they should die or not – want to be barely involved in this one.)

Appendix I, among other things, highlights that a premise of an economy of which the market is covered perfectly, is that common knowledge (and common principles) that are necessary for “sufficient” living circumstances and “healthy” societal standards. When the economy is first established, that information must be made available to all by your department. Here, assume that everyone has had some form of (primary) education. With the scope of establishing a unity after moving on from psychological war as the lead from which the common knowledge is considered informational campaign material, subjects that must be included are: constitutional law, political history, algebra, physics, chemistry, anatomy and neuro-spinozism. *This knowledge is essential, but they do not deserve to know this without having been confronted with their ignorance.*

Given that the first campaign is the first of many, and this piece of paper serves as food for thought, this is an opportunity to define (and/or experiment with) campaign standards that could be used in future campaigns. *Still, creative output must be unique, so to what extent should there be a campaign standard and if so, what should it be?*

Forming a new country, there is neither any establishment for the provision of medical assistance, nor policies that ensure that ensure healthy living standards. A healthy economy of perfect market coverage requires "sufficient" living circumstances and "healthy" societal standards. How would you define these concepts? Given that we are dealing with exceptionally intelligent people, to what extent should one not self-medicate?

In "Public Controversy" its establishment phase, there is nothing to ensure the provision of medical assistance when necessary. *What do you consider the essentials for medical assistance and in which way should they be available? Does the concept of first-aid require new thought?* (Especially when every potential patient does not fit the standard sizes in which items used for the provision of medical assistance are produced.)

Appendix I highlights that the fair grounds of an economy of perfect market coverage include "sufficient" living circumstances and "healthy" societal standards. A more detailed description hereof requires quite some philosophy of medicine. It says that living circumstances are considered sufficient when someone is mentally and physically healthy and is free to practice one's craft "with health maintained". *What criteria would you say must be satisfied to consider someone mentally and physically healthy? And what criteria would you state for healthy working conditions?*

It also says that among other things, societal standards are healthy when it is easy for the individual to live healthily. *What is, in your opinion, essential for a healthy lifestyle?* Your answer to the question must include your opinion on daily and weekly routines, both healthy and "pleasurable" nutrition and a "healthy home" (physical space and social circumstances).

The economy of perfect market coverage allows you to set new standards for the ways in which someone has access to medical assistance and standards for "other things that relate to biology". *How would you define these standards?* There is no legal or political constraint for the standards that you define. Shall there, for example, be built new hospitals and medical practices, or shall the provision of medical assistance be made more independent, with doctors that are available on an on-call basis and only "private" clinics for only the more technical forms of medical aid? Given that we are working with exceptionally intelligent people, they could also be given more responsibility (in contrast to the currently existing health care system), in the sense that they could be given the possibility to medical assistance without consulting a doctor. (Still it must be known when a case is so severe that it is required to consult a doctor.) Something I

personally miss is an application that has detailed information about the origin and healing methods for possible symptoms (of anything) per body part. (Information available on the internet is usually always overly simplified.) So the standard must include widely accessible medical information so that someone can self-medicate.

Setting standards for “other things that relate to biology” (mentioned in the previous paragraph) is extremely broad. There are no legal and political constraints to the standards that you define. There are, however, economic constraints. You are still free to set any standard, but aside from what is essential for healthy ways of living, what is vital depends on what kinds of supply and demand the economy is comprised of. You could, for example, here design health standards for “vegan meat”, while there might be no demand for vegan meat at all. Before businesses are established, however, there must be some pre-defined standards for a healthy environment (air quality, healthy emission standards (this will be constrained by pre-defined demand)), cattle and/or other food related animals (like shall we switch over to keeping bears instead of cows), an all-encompassing no-pet policy (or should keeping pets stay “a thing”? (please say “no”)), the production of medicines (that suit the physical standards of a Titan) and so on. *What (other) standards should be pre-defined for “other things that relate to biology” (and why)? What will those (exact) standards be?*

CHIEF OF STAFF: “THE IDEAL MAN”

Telepathic deputy: Meneer Yevrem

We are dealing with wild men. Wild men who are unwilling to accept that they must be subordinates. How does a man stay feeling like a man while being a subordinate? On top of that, the formal standards of these men are all very inadequate. Also, from your personal expertise, if any (given that it will be in an economy of perfect market coverage), how much staff would you recommend for a small absolutist government?

It is likely not easy for someone of regal descent to have to accept that he must be a subordinate. Especially when your role is to facilitate the circumstances under which experts practice their profession (with your main focus on facilitating the circumstances of the picture face), so you go from active (political) influence to passive (political) influence. You have the most capacity to properly represent yourself when you are taking the loss of accepting your subordination (becoming the passive influence). This makes you like the archetype of the Titan that regains himself after the psychological war has ended.

There are many other men who will undergo the process of psychologically regaining oneself after about a year of psychological warfare, as well as having to accept that they have no choice but become the subordinate of the person to whom they have, for about a year straight, have been saying they will never be a subordinate of. *What would you like to do to ensure that they never forget that they are subordinates? How would you break off any forms of resistance they still have in their minds, but in such a way that they will not become unhealthily submissive?*

They do not uphold decent grooming standards, but as my subordinates they must. *What is decent grooming according to your standards?* (Consider it an alternative to the question: “What does the ideal man look like?”)

The formal standards they use are unacceptable. They are not truly comfortable with real formal behavior. Lingual standards must be provided by the Cultor. *How should they be taught decent etiquette?* Perhaps you could be the campaign mascot in collaboration with the Cultor.

(“Basically”) a small absolutist government will be established in your home. The representatives will be occupied with leadership controversies that will be of influence to millions of Titans. Simultaneously, your home must stay squeaky clean at all times and rooms must be set for occasions (plus, when the representatives travel ...). It, however, does not require extravagance. *Would you recommend hiring staff?* If so, should members of staff be multi-purpose? A member of staff will be a member of staff for the rest of his life. Would you consider

it purposeful to have being a member of staff as a profession? And should, according to you, a representative of the absolutist government ever operate a vehicle?

THE ASSEMBLY

Forming a government is a process of which people are only interested in the end result. Still most often it takes a very long time before formation related negotiations are finished. And then still the government represents nothing but fake promises, members of government are not rarely corrupt and it is not uncommon for the formation of governments to fall. For the Titan whose interests are not represented at all, governmental error is exceptionally unacceptable. So they must form a government of their own.

This is not a frat party. This is serious business. ~~(Even though the establishment might do everything to stop this, so in that sense making it seem as no hope for future like as a frat party might not be a disadvantage, given that then they are likely to assume failure and not even try to put any energy in attempting to stop this. But you people need discipline so that attitude is sin.)~~ We are establishing “Public Controversy”. This establishment process may under no circumstance be as erroneous as the usual formation processes are.

Requirements for the assembly are that involved will be all Titans who will be subject to “Public Controversy” and that the only people who have authority over the universal process are the selected representatives (my telepathic deputies). I will need your answers to the questions of your department its monthly theme in writing. This is essential for me to be able to proceed with the ventures that I am responsible for. I will also need a database of registered Titans. (If you need my insight on what is essential for the registration form, let me know.)

As the only female in the group, I will recover from the psychological war differently. That means that during Public Controversy its establishment phase, I will isolate myself with the Technologicus. (The case of his department requires more personal attention anyway.) Also, I want have a place where I can swim alone and I want to be able to take private forest walks. As long as I have not received and read the papers of all departments, the only people I want to be able to see in person are the Praesens and the Technologicus. In the process of relocating the Praesens, I must not see his eyes. I recommend private train travel. I also recommend no flying (given that psychological war has possibly (temporarily) made people too mentally ill to perform well as a pilot). And I recommend you to be closer friends in/discuss from a more leisure time-like personal perspective your work in the pairs that have best worked telepathically, given exceptional cultural differences and the remainder of incorrect beliefs that were caused by psychological warfare. (Praesens & Chief of Staff, Cultor & Iustis, Economicus & Medicus.)

Other details for the process of assembly (and beyond) I have left undefined, for you to define. Don't do anything stupid (again).

APPENDIX I: “PERFECT MARKET COVERAGE”

To actively create a market that is covered perfectly, fair grounds must be upheld and the process of natural selection may not be obstructed. This is an economic transition that is completed when fair grounds are incorporated in the process of natural selection itself. When the transition is completed (for this to become possible, change in governmental influence is required) perfect market coverage is achieved. This appendix is a brief explanation of the concept of perfect market coverage (and its controversy).

The two building blocks of perfect market coverage:

- 1) Fair grounds
- 2) Natural selection

1. Fair Grounds

The fair grounds are:

I. “Sufficient” living circumstances

Living circumstances are “sufficient” when one is mentally and physically healthy and is free to practice one’s craft “with health maintained”.

II. “Healthy” societal standards

Societal standards are “healthy” when the political economy does not obstruct the process of natural selection, it is easy for the individual to live healthily and citizens are at peace with each other.

III. “Centralized” common knowledge

Common knowledge (including common principles) must be developed in and propagated from a central establishment. Ensuring that grounds I and II are upheld.

2. Natural Selection

A postulation: “One’s best possessed discipline is one’s specialism. One’s specialism-based intelligence makes one a natural monopolist.”

The process of natural selection is not obstructed – “for humans” (in contrast to “non-rational animals”) – when no government(al policy) has any obstructive effects (directly, indirectly) to the natural monopolist its behaviors to provide for oneself, practice and develop one’s craft and have an adequate amount of leisure time.

There can be multiple people with the same specialism and the same or similar ways in which they aspire to use it to provide for oneself. This can cause there to be more supply of a specialism than there is demand.

For one to gain from one's specialism optimally, the surplus of the overall supply of that (same) specialism is obstructive. If one must practice a craft to provide for oneself, then the only way to obtain optimal provision is (given there is a surplus of supply) to kill the people that make (or "are") the surplus.

Not obstructing the process of natural selection with this "policy", "the fittest" remain when the supply and demand of a specialism are equal. In this context, "the fittest" are those who can individually cover the most of the market, are circumstantially most adaptive (for both crises and innovation) and are the best when it comes to all other economic parameters by which the natural monopolist (as an individual) can distinguish oneself on the market of supply and demand of one's discipline/specialism. (I say discipline here because theoretically speaking, even though there can be competitors on the market, there is no competition over the specialism of a (natural) monopoly. (A monopolist is a monopolist.))

(Political) leftism is of (severe) obstruction to natural selection as an economic process. It tends to overtake the behavioral choices businesses may make, let alone the behavioral choices of individuals seen as natural monopolies (business entities). The process of leftist obstruction ("the transition" ("The Great Reset")) is completed when there are no more economic actors the leftist government can overtake the economic decision making from. (Fully passive lives remain.)

Given that in the current legal, economic and political systems it is possible for the concept of leftism to exist, the only way to assuredly restore or bring into the existence the concept of natural selection in an economy (if a human consumes, there is an economy) is to, as a people, move away from the currently existing systems (for the non-Titan male figuratively if not literally).

The "survival of the fittest", when there is no central higher authority that interferes (actively functions as an invisible hand) on the market of supply and demand, societal circumstances can become excessively violent and under such unsafe circumstances negatively impacted can be one's quality of life/the extent to which one is provided for.

The uncertainty there is without central authority ("even" with leftism (which is bad) there is central authority (it is just so huge that it does not seem central)), impacting the possibility of having a healthy lifestyle (psychological circumstances, quality of produce ("even"

availability)), by economical natural selection, is not an uncertainty anymore when fair grounds are upheld that make excessively violent circumstances impossible.

When there is nothing that ensures that fair grounds are upheld and/or that individuals use fair principles in their behaviors, it can never be guaranteed that natural monopolist individuals have constant optimal (or even adequate) living circumstances (including their professional life). (Because fair grounds are the constant that is required to make optimal living circumstances constant.) Upholding fair grounds, thus, requires more than a silent pact. A central authority (that can be held accountable) is essential.

Completing the Circle

The process of natural selection as a concept on the market of supply and demand for the discipline of individuals as natural monopolists, leads to optimal gain instead of a survival of the fittest of which the violence never ends, when a central authority ensures that the amount of suppliers on the market remains exactly equal (to its demand: no surplus). When the amount of suppliers is exactly enough for the market's demand is when there is "perfect market coverage".

A silent pact does not ensure the upholding of fair grounds among natural monopolist individuals under natural selection as an economic concept/process, so fair grounds must be upheld by a central authority. To keep the outcome of the market in balance by natural selection after the surplus of supply has been annihilated and to make sure that the balance is reached – meaning neither leftism nor infinitely killing economic competitors – a central authority must ensure consistent perfect market coverage.

To be able to sustain this, the central authority must internally keep a structure of processes that is consistent under any circumstance. This structure must, given natural selection non-obstructed, be independent from (not reliant on) the currently existing legal, economic and political systems. I recommend the construction of a regent with a council, all positions appointed for life.

Given that the central authority upholds the fair grounds that stabilize the survival of the fittest, optimal gain for the natural monopolist is constant when there is perfect market coverage. Therefore perfect market coverage is held constant by fair grounds.